Council

10 May 2022

Present: Joe Docherty (Chair), Joanna Barker, Jonathan Bewes, Kay Boycott, Camila

Caiado, Leslie Ferrar, James Grierson, Alison Hasting Denise Lievesley Antony Long, Colin Macpherso Amir Michael, Cheryl Millington, Rebecca Morris, Karen O Brien (Vice Chancellor) Nigel Perry (minute 10,6) Kate Pretty, Ari Sadanandom Corinne Saunders, Terry Toney, Andrew Tremlett

and Seun Twins.

In Attendance: Sandip Biswas (Lay Member Observer), Alison Blackburn (Interim University

Secretary), Janette Brown (Lay Member Observe) eclan Merrington (PG Academic Officer) Stephen Willis (Chief Financial Officer) and Kelly Knapp

(Minutes).

Kieran Fernandes and David Loudon(minute 105); Stephen Taylor and

marriage. The Dean would assume the new post in the autumn and ould continue of participate on Council until the end heafacademic year

102. Vice-Chancellor's Report (C/2178Confidential Restricted) Noted:

- a) the Chief Information OfficeCIO) would retire in October 2022d recruitment for his replacement was underway. TNNO had agreed to continue to support the University in a consultancy and transitional role
- b) the University had identified a new Executive D(Bursiness) from a strong field of candidates An offer had been made accepted. Arannouncement would be made once the candidate had agreed timing with their current employer;
- c) the Student Support project was beginning one to fruition as particle wider Case for the Integrated Student Expense Programme with colleagues across the institution working together on the initiative in preparation for launch in the new academic year
- d) the threat offiniversity and College Union(UCU) industrial action continued with connection with pay and pension concerns. Receimely University had been formally notified of proposed industrial action that included a marking and assessment boycott. M

103. Senate Report (C/21790pen)

Noted: the matters discussed by Senatt its April 2022 meeting.

Received: a verbal update on the Senate Effectiveness Review (SER) from the SER Working Group Chair.

a) the WorkingGroup had held extensive discussion with Halpin tdefine the scopewhich had resulted in an expanded list Hain hado Td Tw ()T(v)85 (tiec 0 0 Twein) ()ein

- ii. the information technology would need to be updated to accommodate number of users another academic settingers office use
- iii. there was not an appetite to carve up the building any more than required;
- iv. the University's planning application included astainability appraisal that reflected decrease in the carbon footprint stemming from the anticipated lower number of cars driving to the site;
- g) there were no other know prospective buyers and the price reflected DCC s lowest acceptablebid plus VAT;
 - i. the Sands cost DCC £50 million to build aifiduiltin today senvironmentwas estimated to cost £70 million

Closed Minute

- h) the acquisition would have a significant impact on the University's overall investment plan. The Finance Committee had reviewed the impact of caserves and had agreed with the proposal The capital programme would be revisited as part of the planned Strategy refresh that would be presented to Council later in the calendar year
 - i. communications and the associated messages to the wider University would be carefully managed cknowledging that a number of other faculties / departments were awaiting capital commitments support their strategies and growth; plans
- i) the sale of the Sandwouldmost likely require DCC to revisit its plans for Aykley Heads and thereforeoffeed an opportunity for the idersity to collaborate with DCC on its development plans for the area;
- j) the University recenty formalised anagreement with DCC in a Memorandum of Understanding and as such the risk register would be reviewed and updated accordingly;
- k) clarity wasequired as to whether the acquisition included an education cowerhart was not desired as had the potential to hinder the University in the future

Agreed:

- a) ongoing support with the University's plans torachei Sands from DCC;
- b) if acquired, plans to adapt the building need to be swiftly developed ith the aim of minimising the change required to the building scurrent footpribute qually ensuring the building was fit for purposed to do so in the most economical and timely manner
- c) the OBC provided in the provided pro

- a) the action plan for the recommendation from the Council Effectiveness Review reflected GNCs prioritiation and proposed actions including opportunities for quick wins Commentary on the followingesificrecommendations, included:
 - i. Recommendation 2: Council reconsiders the question of its size: whilstHalpin did not perceive the current size of Council to be an issue, some universities had few members.GNC did not perceive this matter required furthen autthis time;
 - ii. Recommendation 7 and 11: Council consider replacing University Strategy Implementation Committee (USIC) and Finance Committee with a SPaRC... and consider standing down USIC by the end of the current academic year. GNC did not agree with creation of a SPaRC nor changing the role and responsibility of either Finance Committee or USIC. There was a desire for some type of People Committee observations and further guidance had been sought from Halpin
 - iii. Recommendation 10: GNC to advise on the appointment of a Senior Independent Governor (SIG): GNC did not perceive the appointment to a matter of urgency and was something to be reviewed when Vice Chair sterm ended;
- b) high prioritytems included areview and update of the Gifts Acceptance Policynd (ii) Financial Delegations both of which were scheduled to be presented for Council's consideration before the end of the academic year

Agreed:

- a) Councildid not need to consider adapting size at this tin(Recommendation 2);
- b) retention of USIC and Finance Committeand their current roles and responsibilities (Recommendation and 11)
- c) the formation of some type of People Committee once defined would be presented to GNC and Councilprior to being constituted (Recommendation 7)
- d) defer consideratioforappointing SIG to align with the timing of the ***Cba**ir send of term and for further information to be presented to GNC and Council at that time (Recommendation 10).

111. Finance Committee Report 6 April 202(C/2187 Confidential Restricted) Noted:

- a) the Case for the Integrated Student Experience (CISE) Programmase part of the ider Digital Strategy and the funding request was outside Finance Committees delegated authority. The Programme encompassed projects at would update certain technology that was no long for purpose as well as provide advancem to the University and its students. The student journey roject currently dignot include a lumni and would be defined as part of uture phases of work;
- b) preliminarydiscussions had been held regarding fundamental financial framewhomks would help in the refresh of the Strategy and associated Capital Plan. The frameworks would be formally defined and presented to Finance Committee;
- c) the Psychology Department needs were initially planned to be addressed via temporary facilities but the acquisition of Boldon House provided an opportunity to **splanck** within Rowan House. The Department needed to act quickly in order for the work to be undertaken in time for stajic fining the University at the beginning of the 2022/23 academic year. The requested funding was just within Finance Committee's delegated authority

Approved

a) Case for the Integrated Student Experience (CISE) Programme Full Business Case for an overall investment of £6.1 million, which is part of the previously approved Digital Strategy budget. to 31 July 2025; and (iii) Classics & Ancient History: Professor Roy Gibson with effect from 1 August 2023 for a period of three years to 31 July 2026

113. Dates of Future Meetings

Noted: the dates of future meeting slune 2022 and 12 July 2022 to include dinner one the previous evenings)